SELECT BIBLIOGRAPHY

The Latin Lives and letters are published, without translation, in seven volumes in the Rolls Series (the Lives vols 1–4, letters 5–7), in *Materials for the History of Thomas Becket, archbishop of Canterbury*, eds J. C. Robertson and J. B. Sheppard (London, 1875–85). The Icelandic Saga, with English translation, is published in two volumes of the Rolls Series, *Thómas Saga Erkebyskups*, ed. E. Magnússon (London, 1875–83). Garnier's Life is published in French in *Guernes de Pont-Sainte-Maxence*, ed. E. Walberg (Lund, 1922) and is translated into English by J. Shirley, *Garnier's Becket* (Llanerch reprints, 1996). Only a small proportion of the Latin Lives has been published, and none of the Lives in its entirety. For selections from the Lives and letters, see *English Historical Documents*, II, eds D. C. Douglas and G. Greenaway (London, 1981), pp. 749–828; G. Greenaway, *The Life and Death of Thomas Becket* (London, 1961); W. H. Hutton, *St Thomas of Canterbury* (London, 1889); G. Giles, *The Life and Letters of Thomas Becket*, 2 vols (London, 1846).

The publication of *The Correspondence of Thomas Becket, Archbishop of Canterbury, 1162–70*, 2 vols, ed. A. Duggan (Oxford, 2000), has made a great contribution to the subject. Now it is possible to read his correspondence as archbishop in a translated and fully annotated form. For scholarly editions of the correspondence of two of the most important figures in the dispute, see *The Letters of John of Salisbury*, vol. II, eds W. J. Millor and C. N. L. Brooke (Oxford, 1979, with English translation), and *The Letters and Charters of Gilbert Foliot*, eds A. Morey and C. N. L. Brooke (Cambridge, 1967).

There are numerous modern biographies of Thomas. F. Barlow's *Thomas Becket* (London, 1986, repr. 1997) is indispensable, providing a comprehensive narrative of Thomas's life and death based on a thorough analysis of the available evidence. D. Knowles, *Thomas Becket* (London, 1970) is also very valuable, and probably provides the most accessible introduction to the subject. Though not really a biography, B. Smalley's *The Becket Conflict and the Schools* (Oxford, 1973) provides a wide-ranging and learned discussion of the issues involved in the dispute in the light of contemporary thought. For a scholarly biography of Henry II, and a critical assessment of Thomas, see W. L. Warren, *Henry II* (London, 1973).

For background reading on the period, see, for example, R. Bartlett, *England under the Normans and Angevins, 1075–1225* (Oxford, 2000), F. Barlow, *The Feudal Kingdom of England* (London, 1956) and R. Mortimer, *Angevin England, 1154–1258* (Oxford, 1994). On the Church and the crown, see Z. N. Brooke, *The English Church and the Papacy* (Cambridge, 1931), C. R. Cheney, *From Becket to Langton* (Manchester, 1956), R. Foreville, *L'église et la royauté en Angleterre sous Henri II Plantagenet 1154–1189* (Paris, 1943) and W. Urry, *Canterbury under the Angevin Kings* (London, 1967).

A vast amount of literature exists on different aspects of the Becket dispute. On Thomas's personality, see D. Knowles, 'Thomas Becket: a Character Study', in his *The Historian and Character and Other Essays* (Cambridge, 1963), pp. 98–128. For a detailed discussion of Thomas's early life, see L. B. Radford, *Thomas of London Before His Consecration* (Cambridge, 1894). On Archbishop Theobald, see A. Saltman, *Theobald, Archbishop of Canterbury* (London, 1956). On Thomas's accession to Canterbury, see M. Staunton, 'Thomas Becket's Conversion', *Anglo-Norman Studies*, 21 (1999), 193–211.

For Henry's early career, see E. Amt, *The Accession of Henry II: Royal Government Restored 1149–1159* (Woodbridge, 1993). On the world of his court, see E. Türk, *Nugae curialium: le règne d'Henri II Plantagenêt (1145–89) et l'éthique politique* (Geneva, 1977). R. W. Eyton, *Court, Household and Itinerary of Henry II* (London, 1878) is still very useful, but is likely to be surpassed by the publication of *The Acta of Henry II*, eds J. C. Holt, N. Vincent (Cambridge, forthcoming). For the English bishops, see D. Knowles, *The Episcopal Colleagues of Thomas Becket* (Cambridge, 1951), and for Gilbert Foliot, see A. Morey and C. N. L. Brooke, *Gilbert Foliot and his Letters* (Cambridge, 1965). For John of Salisbury, see *The World of John of Salisbury*, ed. M. Wilks (Oxford, 1984).

On the issues at stake in the dispute, C. Duggan, *Canon Law in Medieval England: the Becket Dispute and Decretal Collections* (London, 1982) provides an informed analysis of the canon law tradition. A particularly useful commentary on the Constitutions may be found in *Councils and Synods With Other Documents Relating to the English Church, I AD 871–1204*, Part 2, 1066–1204 (Oxford, 1981), eds D. Whitelock, M. Brett, and C. N. L. Brooke. For the general development of administration and record, of which the Constitutions were a part, see M. Clanchy, *From Memory to Written Record* (1993) and W. L. Warren, *The Governance of Norman and Angevin England 1086–1272* (1987). On canon law and the exile, see E. M. Peters, 'The Archbishop and the Hedgehog', in *Law, Church and Society: Essays in Honour of Stephan Kuttner*, eds K. Pennington and R. Somerville, (1977), pp. 167–84.

A detailed discussion of the murder, with particular attention to its Canterbury context, may be found in W. Urry, *Thomas Becket: His Last Days* (Stroud, 1999). The accounts of the murder and the miracles which followed are discussed by A. Abbott, *St Thomas of Canterbury, His Death and Miracles*, 2 vols (London, 1898). For the miracles, see also B. Ward, *Miracles and the Medieval Mind* (Aldershot, 1982). On the cult, see D. Knowles, 'Thomas Becket: the Saint', *Canterbury Cathedral Chronicle* 65 (1970) and A. Duggan, 'The Cult of St Thomas in the Thirteenth Century', *St Thomas Cantilupe, Bishop of Hereford*, ed. M. Jancey (Hereford, 1982), pp. 21–44. On the history of Thomas's relics, see J. R. Butler, *The Quest for Becket's Bones* (London, 1995).

The fullest analyses of the Lives, in terms of datings and interconnections, are E. Walberg, *La tradition hagiographique de saint Thomas Becket avant la fin du XIIe siècle* (Paris, 1929) and R. Foreville, *Thomas Becket dans la tradition hagiographique* (London, 1981). For a stimulating discussion of the Lives as

literature, see J. O'Reilly, 'The Double Martyrdom of Thomas Becket: Hagiography or History?', *Studies in Medieval and Renaissance History*, 7 (1985), 185–247. See also, for the Lives, A. Gransden, *Historical Writing in England c. 550 to c. 1307* (London, 1974), A. Duggan, *Thomas Becket: a Textual History of His Letters* (Oxford, 1980) is an important analysis of the correspondence. For visual representations of Thomas see *The Becket Leaves*, eds J. Backhouse and C. De Hanes (London, 1988) and T. Borenius, *Thomas Becket in Art* (London, 1932).

A number of relevant articles are published in *Thomas Becket: Actes du Colloque International de Sédières, 1973* (Paris, 1975), ed. R. Foreville. For a bibliography up until 1970, see J. W. Alexander, 'The Becket Dispute in Recent Historiography', *Journal of British Studies*, 9 (1970), 1–25.